UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,452	07/11/2003 Shelley D. Minteer		SLU 4554.1	4859
321 SENNIGER PC	7590 05/12/200)WERS LLP	EXAMINER		
100 NORTH B	· -	MARTIN, ANGELA J		
17TH FLOOR ST LOUIS, MO 63102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/617,452	MINTEER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

-			
	ANGELA J. MARTIN	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13	36(a) and the appropriat	te extension fee
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	nally set in the final Offic	ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u> 3.	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further col	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	lucing or simplifying t	Tie Issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 12 and 18-22. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 6,8,13-16,23-26,42-44,46 and 47.		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-5,7,9,11,27-41,4	4 <u>5,48-77 and 113-140</u> .		
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is	be entered necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu Applicant argues that the bioanode components follow in the specification. Applicant argues that the 35 USC 112 descriptionthis is a new ground of rejection". However actions of 6/30/08 and 2/509. Applicant argues that the 3 enzyme stabilization criteria in the pending claims." How about" is a relative term and can be interpreted broadly to	n a way described in the arguments Rejection, first paragraph "seems to the	but does not point on the directed to a lack tragraph was presented any patentable well on of 2/5/09, the term	ut the same in c of written ed in the office ght to the
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
_			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/PATRICK RYAN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090506

Application No.